IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL **APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4663 OF 2003
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.454OF 2003

1. Sou. Sunita Shankar Mahangare
and anr. .. Applicants
Vs
1.Shri Shankar Dagadu Mahangare & Anr Respondents
Shri Pratap Patil i/b Mr K.V.Saste, for the applicants.
Respondent no.1, though served, remained absent.

CORAM : S.B.MHASE &
D.B.BHOSALE, JJ.

DATE : April 19, 2005.

P.C.

1. Heard Mr Patil, learned counsel for the applicants.

2. The respondents, though served, remained absent. Since the averments made in the application are not controverted, they have to be accepted, being supported by the affidavit. We find that the delay has been properly explained. The delay is,hereby, condoned. The civil application is disposed of.

(D.B.BHOSALE, J.) **(S.B.MHASE, J.)**

/rrt/db-05/db-19405/appln4663-03